

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/025,663 | 12/19/2001 | Steven A. Blankenship | P-1106 | 6529 | |
| 7590 12/21/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Scott R. Cox | | | HENDRICKSON, STUART L | | |
| Suite 2200 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 400 West Market St. | | | ARTORIT | TALER NOMBER | |
| Louisville, KY 40202 | | | 1754 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

| inis | s application is abandoned in view or: | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| × | Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on | | | | | | |
| | A reply (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on, which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time ofmonth(s)) which expired on | | | | | | |
| | A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; or (2) Notice of Appeal). | | | | | | |
| | No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| | ☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on | | | | | | |
| | ☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | | | | | |
| | ☐ The issue fee has not been received. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37). | | | | | | |
| | Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) were received on | | | | | | |
| | ☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable. | | | | | | |
| | ☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| | The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| | The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | | |
| | The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | | |
| | The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |

STUART L. HENDRICKSON PATENT EXAMINER